• Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/644,556	SCHARF ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Cephia D. Toomer</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kirsten Grueneberg</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 October 2003	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the Shown of Telephonic ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative) o	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 32 and withdrawn claims, Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE $M_{\rm S}$.	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a septimental directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a septimental did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief septimental did not result in resolution of all issues. 	on. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)